G. R. S. 58]
Previous references.

File No. 13 of 03

1903.—04
(Local Board)

Serial Nos. 1—8

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1— 8

Major ~~Part~~ B for June 04

SUBJECT.

Conversion of municipality of Trivandrum into a village union.

1(1) 1234. 292 of 2.12.03 From the D.C & Pres.t of Munc.l Boards Chittoor &c.

Solicits sanction for the conversion of Trivandrum municipality into a village union

2(1) 2355 of 16.12.03 To the D C & Pres.t Munc.l Boards Chittoor &c.

Observes that the population of Trivandrum is 50355 and not 23822 as stated

GPB—8,500—21-7-14

P. T. C.

Office of the Dewan of Mysore.

File No. (if any)

Directions.

No., date and purport of receipts to be entered in red, and office note in black ink in order of dates.—To be written only on one side, and inside the line of margin.

Office Note.

SECTION Local Boards

Branch Local Boards Branch FILE NO. 13 of 03

SERIAL No.

Subject Conversion of the Municipality of Turavanur into a village Union

Letter No 344 dated 28th December 1903 from the President of the Municipalities in the Chitaldrug District.

In letter No 292 dated 2nd December 1903 the Deputy Commissioner and the President of the Municipalities, Chitaldrug District recommended the conversion of the Municipality of Turuvanur in the Chitaldrug Taluk into a village Union with effect from July 1904, on the ground that it is neither a Taluk nor Sub-Taluk Head Quarter nor does it contain a population of or above 3000/. But he was told in reply that the population of the Municipality according to the Census of 1901 (Part A Table IV of the census schedule) is 5035 (Vide Docket No 2355 dated 19th December 1903).

2. The President now states that the village of Turuvanur alone contains ~~only~~ a population of 2382 there being so many as 2753 inhabitants in 4 Hamlets attached to the Turuvanur ~~Municipality~~ village but lying outside its Municipal limits. He therefore again recommends the conversion of the Turuvanur Municipality into Village Union with effect from 1st July 1904 under Rule 4 of the Rules under the L. B. Regn

Submitted for orders as to

6/1/04

Return

Whether the President marks
asked to send up a sketch showing
the Village & the 4 hamlets attached
thereto distinctly marking thereon the distance
of each from the present Municipal
boundary line with ~~a report~~
the No of houses in each hamlet &
the amount of taxes that may be
expected of all the hamlets are
included. Whether Municipal
limits, have been given
as to whether the group may be
~~Converted~~ continued as a
Municipal Town.

V.O
Dy 16/1/04

11/1/04

Yes Hon
16/1/04

Sd. to write draft.
Dy
16.1.04

The above information was
called for in this office docket No

A
cl. 4.

2632
7171-02 Dy 19-1-04.

From the information now

No 442
L 1816 Dy 18-2-04

furnished, the distance of each hamlet from Turuvanur, the number of houses in each hamlet, and the probable amount of tax that may be expected if all the hamlets now included in the Turuvanur Municipality are as follows:—

Vide Sketch enclosed Flag —

Hamlet	Distance from Turuvanur	No of houses	No of Shops	No of carts	No of oil mills	Probable amt of income
	miles					Rs. as. p.
Doddaghatta	2½	141	9	14		169—8—0
Bangarakkanahalli	3	135	5	19		163—0—0
Havalenahalli	3	74	.	2		41—0—0
Kadabanakatte	2¼	201	5	23		216—0—0
						589—8—0
Deduct tax on thatched houses & Begars						30—0—0
Net income						559—8—0

The President however has now withdrawn this former proposal to convert the municipality into a union, stating that the change if effected

would result in a unacceptable fall of revenue therein, and no advantage whatever would accrue from the same

He also states that, owing to the inconvenient distances of the several hamlets from Tiruvannur, it is not desirable to bring them within the operation of municipal rules, as proposed in this office

Submitted for orders. ~~as to whether the preference may~~ be recorded ~~notwithstanding~~ the fact that the ~~population of~~ the town, exclusive ~~of the hamlets~~ which are not ~~proposed to be~~ brought within ~~municipal limits~~ is less than ~~the prescribed minimum of~~ 3000.

DNJ 25/2/04

It owing to inconvenient distances of the several hamlets from Tiruvannur, they cannot be brought within the ~~proposed~~ operation.

of the Municipal Rules, it seems undesirable that it should continue as a Municipal domain simply because in it there would be some loss of revenue The Dr Commissioners present proposal seems reasonable and may perhaps be approved.

Subd Inspectors

JD
25/2/04

Subd D the Dewan
through the 2nd Cr

ill
29/2/04

If I understand the Dy Comm's report, it is self contradictory - If land rules cannot be administered in the Municipality as at present constituted, how could it nevertheless be allowed to remain a Municipality. Let the Dy Comm kindly tell us clearly what he proposes & on what grounds. -

4/3/04

1916

The Duty Council on Education
are to be invited to hold
their next meeting at
Ahala on Thursday
next the 16th inst
at 11 o'clock a.m.
for the purpose of
considering the question
of the future Municipality of Ahala
6.3.14

Secretary
2nd Councillor.

The Village of Ahala
does not propose to be
a Municipality — but would
cannot continue to be governed
under the new Order. The number
of persons does not seem to be
sufficient. Within the
Municipal limits of Ahala —
The Council of Ahala should be
abolished. The population
of the Ahala Villages
is about 4000 while as a matter of fact
the Ahala Village alone contains
a Municipality. The inclusion
of the Ahala Villages within
Municipal limits has been
regarded by the obnoxious
inconvenient & undesirable.
That being so Ahala
must cease to be a Municipality
& be governed with a District

If the facts are as I have stated above, an order may be passed accordingly.

9/3/04

B.T.J.
Please verify
once more and
kindly draft
Dy 9/3

Dy 11/3

The above order was conveyed to the President of the Municipalities in the Chittaldrug District, vide G.O. No. 3486 / 27171-02 dy 14/3/04.

Flag A.

No. 537 dy 11/4/04
Lr 119

He now requests that the conversion of the Municipality into a Village Union, may be published in the Gazette.

The publication is necessary under Section 2 of the Local Boards Regulation II of 1902.

Submitted with draft for approval
Dy 31/5/04

9/6/04

Submitted to the Govt through the Lr

12/6/04

1580 / 13-6-04

14/6/04

Proceeded

16/6/04

1835 / 16.6.04

SECTION L. 15.

FILE No. 13 of 03

SER'AL No. 1

MYSORE GOVERNMENT GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT 3-12-03 1903

L 1234

From

H. Ramaiengar Esquire
Deputy Commissioner and
President, Mun: Board, Chitaldrug District

To

H. V. Nanjundayya Esquire M.A. Mrl
Secretary to the Govt. H. H. the
Maharaja of Mysore —
General & Rev: Departments
Bangalore

Dated 2nd
Despatched } December 1903
Received

General No. 292
No.

Enclosure No. —

Subject Turavanur 2355

Recommending that Turavanur which possesses a population of only 2382 persons according to the Census of 1901 may be brought under the operation of Rule 4 of the Rules under H. H. Mysore Local Boards Regulation II of 1902 and the fact notified in view to needful action being taken for the abolition of the said Municipality and the Conversion of the same into a village unions &c

Please put up for reference.
4.12.03

Rd
4.12.03

Sr A
Dy 3/12/03

No: 892

Municipal president's office
Chitaldrug District
Dated Chitaldrug 2nd December 1903

From

N. Ramanujaiengar Esquire
President of the Municipalities,
Chitaldrug District

To

N. V. Nanjunda Rao Esquire M.A, M.L
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, General and Revenue
Departments, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to rule 4 of the Rules under the Mysore Local Boards Regulation II of 1902 issued under Government Notification No. 30 Legis 4-03 dated 4th September 1903 in re. the conversion into village Unions of Municipalities in certain places which are either not Taluk Head Quarter Towns or did not possess a population of or above 3000 inhabitants, I have the honor to bring to your notice that in the list of places given underneath the above rule, the name of Turuvanur village in the Chitaldrug Taluk is omitted. The village is at present a non-regulated Municipality under Government order No. 3030-3/L.J 341 96 dated 1st September 1899; and excluding the hamlets outside the Municipal limits, possesses a population of only 2382 persons according to the Census

of

of 1901

I beg therefore to recommend that it may now be brought under the operation of rule 4 and the fact notified in view to needful action being taken in the matter of the abolition of the said municipality and the conversion of the same into a village union from 1st July 1904

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant

B Venkobarao

for President of the Municipality
Chittaduru District

Office Draft. (Docket.) 4

2

Mysore Government,
General & Revenue Secretariat.

SECTION A. B

FILE No. 130 G 03

SERIAL No. 2

To The President; Municipal Board, Chickballapur

Date of passing the draft 16/12/03

Date of despatch 19/12/03

Regd. No. 2355.

File No. & date (if any) 717—02

No. of Encls.

Cl 21/12/03

From the Same

No 344 of 24/12/03.

Has letter no 292 dated the 2d December 1903, stating that the Municipality of Turuvekere in the Chickballapur taluk which contains less than 3000 population has been omitted in the list of municipalities appended to para 4 of Rules under the Local Boards Regulation of 1902 published with notification No 30 Reg: 4. 03 dated 9th September 1903

and requesting that the same may be brought under the operation of the aforesaid rule and converted into a village Union Proper.

28th June, 1904.

Sir,

With reference to the above, I have the honour to observe that the population according to the Census of 1901 is 5035, and not 2382 as stated by the Deputy Commr. Vide Part A Table IV.

~~that the proposal to~~

convert it into a village Union cannot therefore be approved.

I have &c.

16/7/03

Secy. to Govt. 12/7/04

6 L 1431 (3)

SECTION L 15.

FILE No. 13 of 03

SERIAL No. 3

1903
30. 12. 03
GENERAL DEPARTMENT
MYSORE GOVERNMENT

From Sreekanteswara Iyer Esqr B.A.B.L.
Senior Asst Commissioner in charge
Chitaldrug District

To
H. Narsimhayya Esqr M.A.
Secretary to the Govt of His Highness H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore General
& Rev. Departments Bangalore

Dated 28th December 1903

Despatched

Received

General No. 344

No.

Enclosure No.

Requesting that the recommendation already made that the Municipality of Harihar may be converted into a Union may be kindly sanctioned

Office of the President of Municipalities
Chitaldrug District.

Dated Chitaldrug December 1903.

From

C. Srikanteswara Iyer Esquire B. A. B. L.
Senior Assistant Commissioner
in Charge, Chitaldrug District.

To

H. V. Nanjundayya Esquire M. A. M. L.
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 2355 S. 2 dated 14th instant, observing that the population of the Municipality of Turavanur according to the Census of 1901, is 5035 and not 2382 as stated, I have the honor to state that the village of Turavanur alone contains a population of 2382, while that of the marginally noted hamlets which are attached to this village and are outside the limits of this Municipality is stated to be 2753 persons. I therefore consider that the municipality in question, may be brought under the operation of para 4 of the rules issued under the Mysore Local Boards Regulation and solicit that the recommendation already made

* Name.	Population.
1. Bordagatta	644
2. Bhangarakhanavanna	759
3. Havaldanakalle	280
4. Kuttabanakalle	171

No. C 2654

in regard to the Conversion of this Municipality into a union, may be kindly communicated early Convenience so that necessary steps may be taken in view to the formation of the proposed union with Effect from 1st Jany 1904

I have the honor to be,
Sir

Your most obedient Servant

Alex Cunningham
For President of Municipality
Clerk & Treasurer

Office Draft. (Docket.) (4)

Mysore Government,
General & Revenue Secretariat.

SECTION L 75
FILE No. 13 of 03
SERIAL No. 4

To The Deputy Commissioner of the Provide
of the municipalities, Chital-
-drog & Mill

Date of passing the draft 18/1/04
Date of despatch 19/1/04

Regd. No. 2682
File No. & date (if any) 17/-02

No. of Encls.

23/1/04

His D. O. No 344 dated th
28.th December 1903, stating
that the village of Turuve
-nur Condanur a population
of 2389. While the hamlets
attached thereto Condanur
2753 & Recommending
that the village may be
converted into a village
Union

In the reference in the
above, was the honour
to request that the Depar
& the Proceedings will be

good enough to submit
a sketch showing the
village & the 4 hamlets
attached thereto, marking
thereon the distance of
each from the present
municipal boundary line
with the No. of houses
in each hamlet & the
amount of taxes that
may be expected, if all
the hamlets are included
within municipal
limits, together with his
own opinion, as to whether
the people might not be continued
as a municipal Town.

(Sgd)

18/1/04

for [illegible]

[illegible] 18/1/04.

SECTION L. 15

FILE No. 130 of 03

SERIAL No. 5

MYSORE GOVERNMENT GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT 1907 19 FEB. 1904

From H. C. [illegible] Esq.
Presidency of Municipalities
Chitaldrug District

To

Subject: Annexation Rao Esq. C.
Secretary to the Govern
of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysor
General & Rev. Dep

Dated 18th February 04

Despatched

Received

General No. 442

No.

Enclosure No.

To the Annexation No 34 of 04

Enclosing a Sketch
Showing the Village of
Suravanur and the 4 hamlets
attached thereto together with
a Statement showing the
No. of Houses in each
hamlet and the amount of
taxes that may be expected
if all the hamlets are included
within the municipal limits &c

BS 20/2/04 DM c 11/2

17-02

1 7
1 9.

Memo Cir. 14/2/04 DM

Sr. D.
Dy 19/2

No: 442
C. 2

Municipal President's Office
Chitaldrug District

Dated Chitaldrug 18th February 1904

From

A. Ramanujaiengar Esquire,
President of Municipalities
Chitaldrug District.

To

L. Ananthasaranu Rao Esquire B.A.
Offg: Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Department
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 2631
L.4.171 02
dated 19th ultimo, requesting to submit a sketch showing the village of Turavanur and the 4 hamlets attached thereto marking thereon the distance of each from the present municipal boundary line, I have the honor to submit herewith the sketch showing the village and the 4 hamlets appertaining thereto together with a statement showing the number of houses in each hamlet and the amount of taxes that may be expected if all the hamlets are included within municipal limits as called for in the above.

2. The proposed conversion of the Municipality into a Union would result in a perceptible fall of revenue. I have since visited the village and do not consider that any advantage would accrue by Converting the village into a Union. It may therefore remain a Municipality and looking to the inconvenient distances of the several hamlets from Durandurr, it does not appear desirable to bring them within the operation of the Municipal Rule

I have the honor to be.

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

A Kemball

President

14

Statement showing the number of houses in each hamlets and the probable amount of tax that may be expected if all the hamlets are included in the Taravanur Municipality as called for in Secretary's No. 2632 dated 19th January 1904.

£ 7-171-02.

Name of Village	Nature of houses					Shops		Carts		Oil mills		Total		Remarks
	No. of pucca roofed houses	Estimated tax	No. of thatched houses	Estimated tax	Total amount of tax Estimated	No. of Shops	Estimated amount of tax	No.	Estimated amount of tax	No.	Estimated tax			
Doddagalla	106	106-0-0	35	17-8-0	123-8-0	9	18-0-0	14	28-0-0			169	8	
Rhangarakkanahalli	95	95-0-0	40	20-0-0	115-0-0	5	10-0-0	19	38-0-0			163		
Havalenahalle	3	1-8-0	71	35-8-0	37-0-0			2	4-0-0			41		
Kadabanakalle	119	119-0-0	82	41-0-0	160-0-0	5	10-0-0	23	46-0-0			216		
Total	321	321-8-0	228	114-0-0	435-0-0	19	38-0-0	58	116-0-0			589	8	
Deduct the tax on thatched houses of Beggars of the village												30		
Net expected amount of tax												559	8	

Chitaldrug
18th February 1904

A Kamalamma

President

16

6

SECTION L 13

FILE No. 130/03

SERIAL No. 6

Read:-

Letter No 292 of 2nd Dec 1903 from the Pres. of Cuttack Boards to the Chitaldrug Dist. recommending the exclusion of Turavanur from the list of Municipalities, as it contains a population of only 2382 as per Census of 1901, and requesting that the same may be brought under the operation of Rule 4 of the Rules under the Mysore Local Boards Regulation II of 1901 and converted into a "Village Union"

2. Letter No 324 of 28th Dec 1903 from the same Officer stating, with reference to this Office docket No 2353 / F.7171-02 of 19th idem, that the village of Turavanur excluding its four Dakhali hamlets contains a population of 2382 ~~as stated in the above letter~~ and requesting that the recommendation already made in regard to the conversion of Turavanur into a Union may be sanctioned.

Recd 23 Dec 19

3. Letter No 442 of 18th Feby 1904 from the ~~present~~ President of the ~~said~~ Municipality ~~stating~~ Submitting as requested in this Office docket No 2632 / F.7171-02 of 19th January 1904, a sketch showing

showing the village of Turvanamur
& its 4 hamlets, marking thereon
the distance of each from the
present Municl. boundary line
with the number of houses and
the amount of taxes that may
be expected if all the hamlets
are included within Municl.
limits &c and stating that the
proposed conversion of the municipality into a Union would result in
a perceptible fall of revenue and
that it may therefore remain
a municipality.

Order thereon dt. 13-86
L.J. 171-02
9th March 1904.

Govt. observe that
the village of Turvanamur &c.
does not deserve to be a Municipality
or rather it cannot continue
to be such under the new Rules.
The hamlets in question do not
seem to be now included in
the Municl. limits of Turvanamur
The Census figures of 1901 which give the population of the municipality
as 5035
are misleading. They
take in to account the population
both of the chetti village
and of its 4 Pariah villages,
while as a matter of fact, the
chetti village alone forms a
municipality. The inclusion
of the Pariah villages within
Municl. limits has been reported
to be obviously inconvenient
& undesirable. That being so,

Turvanamur

Incumbent must cease to be a Municipality and be turned into a Union. The President is accordingly requested to introduce ~~the~~ House Case into this Union ~~two Rules~~ under the L. B. Regulations & the Rule 1st incumbent

Secretary

WB

Dmj 11/3/04

To

The Pres[t] 11/3/04 of the Incumbers in the Chitaldrug Dist[t]

19 L2298

1904

MYSORE GOVERNMENT GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT 20 APR. 1904

SECTION L.R.

FILE NO. 130/03

SERIAL NO. 7

FROM A. Ramanjaiengar Esquire President District Fund and Municipal Boards, Chitaldrug District

To L. Anantasami Rao Esquire B.A. Officiating Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, General Revenue Department, Bangalore

Dated 14th
Despatched 18th } April 1904
Received

General No. 537
No.

Enclosure No. 10

Ref to No 5036 dt 24.6.04
Reference No 4171-02 dt 24.6.04

Re Submitting the Revised District Fund Budget of the Chitaldrug Local Fund Circle for 1904-05

L L
20/4/04

Sec. J. with file
Check figures and
S. J. 20/4/04

Office of the Divisional Officer
District Financial Division
No. 5537 dated Chitaldrug District
Dated Hiriyur, the 15th September 1908

From

H. Ananda Rao Esquire
President, Municipal Board,
Chitaldrug District.

To

L. Anantarama Rao Esquire
Officiating Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja's Government,
General and Finance Department
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 3775/L.7.9 dated 4th instant, returning for explanation and revision, the District Fund Budget of this local fund Circle for the year 1908 to 1908, I have the honor to resubmit for sanction the budget duly revised, including therein, the probable estimate of receipts and expenditure for the newly constituted Turuvanur Union as required in para 2 of the docket under reference.

21

2. The details shown under "V Sweepers &c for the 3rd Class Travellers' Bungalows and Musafarkhanas" in the statement D, have been corrected and now correspond with those under the same head in statement F.

3. The provision made in the current years District Fund Budget on account of conservancy establish-ment is Rs 444/-, which is in excess of the actual establishment entertained by Rs 108/- this error has been recti-fied in the budget herewith submitted

4. I further beg that a notification of the sanctioned conversion of the Tu-ravanur municipality into a Union may kindlybecaused to be published in three issues of the Mysore Gazette, as required in para 4 of section 2 of the Local Board Regulation

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

President
Municipal Boards, Chitaldrug

No 5036 dt 24.6.04

L7 171. 02

22

Notification

SECTION LB

FILE NO. 130503

SERIAL NO.

It is hereby ~~declared~~ notified for general information, that the Municipality of Tiruvannamalai Taluk Local Fund District will be converted into a Village Union with effect from 1st July 1904, under Section 2 Clause (4) of the Local Boards Regulations.

By order

18/6/04

offg Secretary

Dmj 21/6/04

Linen 4 1/2

23

9/61

The Compiler, Gazette for publication

Memo 5037/L7 171-02 dt 24-6-04

Copy forwarded to the President of the Distt Board Tiruvannamalai with reference to G.O. No 3486 dt 14/3/04 L7 171-02

Flag A

24-6-04